Decoding Development in *Xenopus tropicalis*
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**Summary:** *Xenopus tropicalis* is rapidly being adopted as a model organism for developmental biology research and has enormous potential for increasing our understanding of how embryonic development is controlled. In recent years there has been a well-organized initiative within the *Xenopus* community, funded largely through the support of the National Institutes of Health in the US, to develop *X. tropicalis* as a new genetic model system with the potential to impact diverse fields of research. Concerted efforts have been made both to adapt established methodologies for use in *X. tropicalis* and to develop new techniques. A key resource to come out of these efforts is the genome sequence, produced by the US Department of Energy’s Joint Genome Institute and made freely available to the community in draft form for the past three years. In this review, we focus on how advances in *X. tropicalis* genetics coupled with the sequencing of its genome are likely to form a foundation from which we can build a better understanding of the genetic control of vertebrate development and why, when we already have other vertebrate genetic models, we should want to develop genetic analysis in the frog.
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**FORWARD GENETICS IN THE FROG**

The pseudo-tetraploid frog *Xenopus laevis* has long been used for classical and molecular embryological studies because of the ease with which large numbers of easily manipulated embryos can be obtained and, as a result, we have learned more about early vertebrate development from the frog than from any other vertebrate model. While *Xenopus tropicalis* shares the positive features of its close relative, it has the added advantages of being a truly diploid species with a relatively short generation time, opening the door to developmental genetics. Forward genetic screens, aimed at identifying developmental mutants on the basis of their phenotype, are already being conducted and are beginning to yield interesting mutations (Goda *et al.*, 2006). These mutations, induced by in vitro treatment of sperm with N-ethyl N-nitrosourea, are in addition to several naturally occurring mutations identified in the genetic backgrounds of both lab-bred and wild-caught frog populations (Grammer *et al.*, 2005; Noramly *et al.*, 2005). This type of mutant screen is likely to further shift the focus of *Xenopus* biologists from early developmental processes to later development and organogenesis. This shift had already begun as a result of the ability to block the translation of specific mRNAs by injection of synthetic morpholino oligonucleotides into the early embryo. As will be discussed later, initial attempts have been made to screen genes for developmental functions using morpholinos (Kenrick *et al.*, 2004; Rana *et al.*, 2006), but classical forward genetics remains the most reliable and straightforward approach. The translucent nature of the *Xenopus* tadpole allows developing organs such as the heart to be easily observed, making the frog well-suited to phenotypic screens for mutations affecting the morphogenesis and function of organs, and other aspects of development such as left-right asymmetry. Phenotypic screens conducted so far have taken advantage of another useful feature of *Xenopus* biology, namely the ability to generate gynogenetic diploids (Noramly *et al.*, 2005). Irradiated spermatozoa, which are not able to contribute to viable diploid embryos, can be used to fertilize eggs in which either the second meiotic or first mitotic division can be suppressed by exposure to high pressure or low temperature (“cold-shock”). The resulting embryos often survive through embryogenesis, sometimes reaching adulthood. Mutations carried in heterozygosity by the female from which the eggs are obtained are brought into homozygosity in as many as fifty percent of the gynogenetic diploid progeny, depending upon the technique used. The phenotypic effects of these mutations can be analyzed in these animals, allowing screening in...
half of the time required by standard three-generation screening strategies. Goda et al. have used this approach to isolate mutants with phenotypes affecting diverse aspects of embryogenesis including axial patterning, gut development, and organs such as the eye, ear, and heart (Goda et al., 2006). The genetic lesion underlying one such mutant, muzak, in which the heart has no beat, has been preliminarily mapped by bulk segregant analysis of amplified length polymorphisms and by simple sequence length polymorphism (SSLP) analysis.

The mapping of muzak and other mutations through the use of SSLP markers is being aided greatly by the availability of a simple sequence repeat (SSR) map for X. tropicalis (http://tropmap.biology.uh.edu/map.html). By looking for SSRs in the draft genome sequence of X. tropicalis and screening these for polymorphism between Ivory Coast and Nigerian strains, Amy Sater, Dan Wells and co-workers at the University of Houston and Baylor College of Medicine have produced a set of more than a thousand genetic markers. The map, generated by determining the co-segregation frequencies of these markers in mapping crosses, assembles the markers into ten linkage groups. Although a direct correspondence has not yet been demonstrated, these linkage groups likely correspond to the ten chromosomes of X. tropicalis. This valuable preliminary map is just one example of the type of resources made possible by the sequencing of the X. tropicalis genome.

SEQUENCING THE X. TROPICALIS GENOME

The effort to sequence the frog genome began at the Joint Genome Institute of the US Department of Energy in 2002 with the aim of sequencing its roughly 1.7 gigabases and assembling contiguous sequences into scaffolds large enough to contain not only the coding regions of genes but also their associated regulatory regions. At several points during the project, draft assemblies of the sequence data were released to the community and this has supported the development of a variety of sequence-based tools and research projects. Currently, assembly 4.1 of the genome sequence contains ~1.5 megabases and corresponds to around 95% of the known full-length X. tropicalis cDNAs. Half of this sequence is carried by just 272 large scaffolds of 1.56 Mb or more, making it likely that even distant regulatory sequences will be contiguous with the genes they regulate. Annotation of the genome sequence has been supported by large numbers of expressed sequence tagged (EST) clones for both X. tropicalis and X. laevis. These number more than a million clones in X. tropicalis alone. These are proving valuable for the annotation of the genome, providing positive evidence that gene models predicted on the basis of sequence features correspond to transcribed loci. However, of the roughly 28,000 gene models present, less than a third are currently supported by ESTs, perhaps due in large part to under-representation of low abundance transcripts or genes expressed in tissues or stages not represented in the sequenced cDNA libraries.

IDENTIFYING VERTEBRATE GENE NETWORKS

One of the most important challenges facing developmental biologists is to understand how developmental genes are regulated. Turning genes on or off in particular tissues or at particular times in development is a complex process and is vital for differentiation of the numerous cell types that make up the animal. Studies of the downstream targets of particular transcription factors, and of the upstream regulation of genes are slowly building a picture of the networks of regulatory interactions that constitute the transcriptional programs underlying cellular differentiation. A number of studies have identified downstream target genes for a handful of transcription factors, but in the past this has often been difficult. Xenopus is an invaluable system for these studies, because of the ability to look at the immediate-early transcriptional responses of explanted tissue when the function of a recombinant, hormone-activated form of a transcription factor is triggered in the presence of cycloheximide, an inhibitor of translation (Kolm and Sive, 1995; Tada et al., 1997). Increasingly, this approach is being coupled with expression microarray analysis to look at transcriptional changes on a genome-wide scale, thereby avoiding the guesswork associated with assaying changes in the expression of candidate targets (Taverner et al., 2005). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), in which a protein is precipitated together with its cross-linked native DNA targets, is an alternative means of identifying the targets of a transcription factor, and benefits in Xenopus from the ease with which large numbers of embryos can be generated (Stewart et al., 2006). ChIP is also starting to be used in conjunction with promoter microarrays (ChIP-on-chip), an approach which has been successfully used for genome-wide analysis in other systems (Denissov et al., 2007; Wardle et al., 2006). Genomic DNA sequence data forms the basis of this type of array, in which sets of oligonucleotides are designed to correspond to short regions of sequence distributed periodically across the upstream and downstream regions of genes. Both expression array studies and ChIP-on-chip will inevitably accelerate the identification of the downstream targets of transcription factors and also have the potential to identify unanticipated regulatory pathways.

Sequencing the X. tropicalis genome presents the possibility of searching for regulatory sequences directly, using bioinformatics approaches. The DNA binding specificities of numerous transcription factors have been experimentally determined by binding site selection studies etc. and provide a potential starting point from which to search for downstream target genes of these trans-acting regulators. Databases such as TRANSFAC and JASPAR have been developed to collect transcription factor binding sequence (TFBS) data, usually in the form of position weight matrices representing the statistical
such programs often predict vast numbers of binding transcription factors for sequence variation, means that ces (usually only 5-12bp), coupled with the tolerance of MAPPER, ABS, TRED; see web resource list given in the appendix). However, the short length of binding sequences (usually only 5-12bp), coupled with the tolerance of transcription factors for sequence variation, means that such programs often predict vast numbers of binding sites, of the order of $10^9$ or more per genome, that significantly match the experimentally-determined TFBS. These predictions may be a thousand-fold higher than the actual number of sites with biological functions (Wasserman and Sandelin, 2004). Furthermore, the accuracy of the predictions is reliant upon the quality of the underlying experimental data for each transcription factor. Recent work looking for regulatory sequences in the human and Drosophila melanogaster genomes has focused on the clustering of predicted TFBSs as a means of finding cis-regulatory modules (CRMs) with biological functions (Berman et al., 2002; Blanchette et al., 2006). This is based upon the experimental observation that factors affecting the rate of transcription at a particular locus do not operate in isolation. Often, interactions between different DNA-binding transcription factors bound to neighboring sites nucleate the formation of transcriptional complexes on promoters and, at least in some cases, the rate of transcription appears to be determined by a balance of activating and repressing (or “quenching”) factors (Janssens et al., 2006). Clustered sites can be identified within genomic sequence using the recently developed Enhancer Element Locator (EEL) and Ahab/Stubb/Argos programs (Hallikas et al., 2006; Palin and Ukkonen, 2006; Rajewsky et al., 2002; Schroeder et al., 2004; Sinha et al., 2006). Although attempts at identifying functional regulatory regions by looking for clustered transcription factor binding sites can be successful for some genes, it is unclear how prevalent this type of CRM clustering is (Halling, 2006). Genome-wide analysis of such clusters suggests that they are more commonly associated with genes encoding developmental regulators than with other gene classes (Blanchette et al., 2006). Furthermore, studies in several model systems have demonstrated that transcription can be influenced by cis-acting enhancer elements situated long distances from the promoter of the gene they regulate. For example, a key mammalian enhancer regulating the expression of sonic hedgehog has been identified $\sim$1 megabase from the promoter of the gene (Lettice et al., 2002). Similarly, transcription may be regulated by multiple sites scattered over relatively large regions, as found for the endoderm-specific Endo16 gene of the sea urchin S. purpuratus, in which expression is controlled by a 2.3-kilobase cis-regulatory element with more than thirty functional binding sites (Yuh et al., 1994, 1998). Such observations suggest that clustering of transcription factor binding sites within short regions of the genome is not always a necessary requirement for function and highlight the potential difficulty of defining distinct functional clusters.

Through comparative genomics, the sequencing of the X. tropicalis genome may help overcome some of the problems described previously. To try to enrich for functional regulatory sequences, methods that scan genomes for transcription factor binding sequences have been combined with those that compare genome sequences from different species to find regions where sequence is evolutionarily conserved, perhaps as a result of functional constraints (“purifying selection”). These programs use a variety of alignment algorithms, coupled with programs that calculate and provide visual representations of sequence conservation. An example of this combined approach is RVISTA, which identifies binding sites in conserved regions of two locally aligned genomic sequences (Loots and Ovcharenko, 2004). Comparative genomics approaches of this kind are most successful when the two species in question are sufficiently distant from one another in evolutionary terms to allow sequences without function to diverge from one another. Humans and mice diverged from their last common ancestor $\sim$75 million years ago and share between 66.7% and 75.9% identity in their non-coding regions (Waterston et al., 2002). This degree of conservation is close to the conventional criterion of >70% identity used to identify candidate sequences that may be conserved for functional reasons, and therefore significantly decreases the resolution with which regulatory elements can be detected by human-mouse genome comparisons. The greater evolutionary distance between the human and X. tropicalis genomes (360 million years) is likely to have resulted in a significantly greater degree of sequence divergence. While the same is true of the zebrafish (Danio rerio), the gene order on its chromosomes differs considerably from that of humans. This difference complicates the identification of orthologous sequences. The shared synteny and gene order between organisms is readily viewed using the powerful online tool Metazome (www.metazome.net). This provides a user-friendly visual representation of multi-species genome comparisons of regions around any gene of interest, together with links to the underlying genome sequence data and tools for generating and analyzing alignments. An example of the output of Metazome is shown in Figure 1a, in which synteny and gene order relationships are shown for ten genes flanking the Gata6 locus. Together with Mix-like/mixer, Sox17, Gata4, and Gata5, Gata6 functions downstream of nodal-related signaling in the developing endoderm of the early embryo as part of a nonlinear transcriptional network (Sinner et al., 2006). Inter-species alignments of the regions containing these genes show similar patterns of conserved synteny and gene order when human genes are compared with their X. tropicalis orthologues (Fig. 1a,b). However, where comparisons can be made with the corresponding regions of the zebrafish genome (Gata6, Fig. 1a; Gata5, Fig. 1b), synteny and gene order within the aligned regions are not found to be
FIG. 1. Comparative genomic alignment of endoderm specification factors (a) Synteny and gene order relationships amongst genes flanking the chordate Gata6 cluster, as seen in the online analysis tool Metazome. Note. This screenshot was edited to focus on the aligned genes, omitting the Functional Analysis section relating to Gata6. Orthologous genes in aligned genomes are color-coded as described. Abbreviations: Hsa, Homo sapiens; Mmu, Mus musculus; Rno, Rattus norvegicus; Gga, Gallus gallus; Xtr, Xenopus tropicalis; Dre, Danio rerio; Tru, Takifugu rubripes. (b) Synteny and gene order relationships amongst genes flanking the Mix-like/mixer, Sox17/Sox17beta, Gata4, and Gata5 genes. Corresponding regions of the human genome and X. tropicalis genomic scaffolds are shown in each case. For the Gata5 cluster, the corresponding region of the D. rerio genome is also aligned, as for Gata6 in (a). Alignments were based on Metazome output and on annotation of the available X. tropicalis genomic scaffolds (assembly 4.1). Orthologous genes are color-coded, with clustered genes shown in black. Dotted lines indicate regions not included in the currently available genomic scaffolds.
conserved. Intergenome comparisons of other loci using Metazome support the notion that gene order in *X. tropicalis* is often similar to that of humans, and analysis of this similarity is ongoing. If this is found to be broadly true on a genome-wide scale it will make the alignment of genomes, identification of orthologous genes and comparison of corresponding regulatory elements simpler between humans and *Xenopus* than between humans and zebrafish.

Although synteny and gene order are often poorly conserved between the genomes of mammals and teleost fish, sequence comparisons have been made. In particular, attention has been focused on comparing mammalian genomes with that of the pufferfish *Takifugu rubripes*. The primary reason for such comparisons is the extensive loss of large extrachromosomal regions of non-coding sequence from the fugu genome, which has eliminated much of its “junk” DNA while leaving important regulatory sequences intact. However, these comparisons also benefit from the large evolutionary distance and associated sequence divergence between the species. The divergence that has occurred over more than 400 million years between mammals and pufferfish allows larger shared genomic regions to be narrowed down to smaller stretches of sequence (<100 bp) with regulatory functions (Baroukh et al., 2005). This approach has been used successfully to identify regulatory elements associated with several important development genes, including *Hoxb4*, *Pax6*, and *sonic hedgehog* (Aparicio et al., 1995; Woolfe et al., 2005), along with genes that have additional non-developmental functions such as the regulation of genes involved in lipid metabolism (COUP-TFI; [Baroukh et al., 2005]). These studies support the suggestion that similar comparisons between mammalian and *Xenopus* genomes might provide greater resolution in the detection of functionally constrained sequence elements than is achieved through human-rodent comparisons.

**VALIDATING GENE NETWORKS IN VIVO**

The sequencing of the *X. tropicalis* genome and the application of available bioinformatics tools will inevitably lead to the identification of conserved non-coding sequences. Transgenesis has been used in both the mouse and the zebrafish to test the function of conserved elements from vertebrate genomes. However, there are significant technical disadvantages to conducting transgenesis in these model organisms. Transgenesis in the mouse is inefficient, costly, and initially produces mosaic animals in which only a subset of cells carry the transgene. Although it is faster and cheaper in zebrafish, transgenesis by DNA microinjection, I-SceI meganuclease, or transposon-based methods similarly results in mosaic animals in which it can be difficult to interpret the activity of a regulatory element. *Xenopus* is an alternative model for these assays. A technique for the production of transgenic frogs by restriction enzyme-mediated integration (REMI) was developed by Kristen Kroll and Enrique Amaya and has since become a well-established technique within the *Xenopus* research community (Kroll and Amaya, 1996). The technique involves incubating linearized transgene DNA with sperm nuclei in the presence of a restriction enzyme followed by transplantation of the nuclei into unfertilized eggs by microinjection, resulting in fertilization. Unlike transgenesis in the mouse, REMI in *Xenopus* can generate hundreds of transgenic embryos in a single experiment and is effective in both *X. laevis* and *X. tropicalis* (Hirsch et al., 2002; Offield et al., 2000). More recently, microinjection of DNA linearized with the yeast meganuclease I-SceI (also known as omega meganuclease) has been found to be a highly efficient method of transgenesis in both *X. tropicalis* and *X. laevis* (Ogino et al., 2006; Pan et al., 2006). A Tol2 transposon-based method has also been used with success (Hamlet et al., 2006), as has the integrase φC31 (Allen and Weeks, 2005). In *Xenopus*, both REMI and I-SceI meganuclease transgenesis commonly result in the integration of the transgene before the first nuclear division, resulting in non-mosaic transgenic animals that transmit the transgene through the germline. In *X. tropicalis*, REMI generates non-mosaic embryos from ~2%–5% of those injected (Hirsch et al., 2002), while 10% of injected embryos are non-mosaic using the I-SceI meganuclease method (Ogino et al., 2006).

The ease and efficiency with which non-mosaic transgenic *Xenopus* can be generated by these techniques not only means that regulatory elements identified by bioinformatic analysis can be tested for function in *vivo*. It also makes unbiased, non-sequence based approaches to promoter and enhancer analysis feasible. Non-coding sequences flanking genes of interest can be fragmented and tested in large numbers to determine their ability to direct the expression of reporter genes, and the resulting expression patterns can be unequivocally interpreted in the absence of the mosaicism inherent in other model systems. This type of “promoter bashing” has been done with great success in invertebrate models such as the sea urchin (Yuh et al., 1994), but *Xenopus* represents the only equivalent vertebrate model. Importantly, the testing of conserved human regulatory elements in zebrafish (Fisher et al., 2006; Shin et al., 2005), and fugu elements in transgenic mice (Aparicio et al., 1995), has shown that the conservation of these elements at the sequence level is truly associated with conserved biological function, highlighting the relevance of the use of distantly related model organisms to gain insight into gene regulation in higher organisms, including humans.

**FROM GENE SEQUENCE TO GENE FUNCTION**

Although morpholinos have proved to be invaluable tools for blocking gene function in *Xenopus*, there is a need to develop truly genetic techniques for comprehensively analyzing the functions of genes. This is a challenge facing geneticists studying any organism. The long
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and successful history of forward genetic screening in
*Drosophila* and the mouse, together with the study of
the genetic basis of inherited human disease, has pro-
vided geneticists with informative mutations in many im-
portant genes and forms the basis of our understanding
of the genetic control of development, normal biology
and disease. However, this has relied on the chance
mutation of genes involved in some readily observed fea-
ture, followed by an often lengthy process of positional
cloning to characterize the mutated gene. In the age of
genome sequencing, there is a need to work in the oppo-
site direction—from gene to phenotype. Morpholinos
that inhibit either the translation or splicing of specific
mRNAs go some way towards this, because they can be
designed to target any gene of interest and their effec-
tiveness can be determined using antibodies against the
targeted protein or, in the case of splice morpholinos,
RT-PCR (Draper *et al.*, 2001; Heasman *et al.*, 2000; Sivak
*et al.*, 2005). Rana *et al.* (2006) have recently investi-
gated the utility of morpholinos as tools for large-scale
reverse screening in *X. tropicalis*, testing morpholinos
designed to target mRNAs corresponding to 202 genes.
For ~65% of the targeted genes, abnormal developmen-
tal phenotypes were observed and could be broadly
categorized into synphenotype groups. The specificity
of these effects was demonstrated in many cases by the
use of a second morpholino targeting a distinct region of
the mRNA, which typically resulted in a similar pheno-
type. The success of this approach suggests that in the
near future it may be a valuable large-scale screening
tool for identifying novel genes regulating development.

With DNA sequence as a starting point, morpholino
“knockdown” experiments can provide a broad indica-
tion of the biological requirement for certain genes in a
similar way to gene knockout studies in the mouse. The
problem with these techniques is that they are relatively
crude when compared with the analysis of more subtle
point mutations. They tell us very little about the rela-
tionship between gene sequence and gene function.

Genetic analyses of allelic series in *Drosophila* have
shown how important this kind of analysis can be in
uncovering distinct biochemical pathways and functions
associated with particular regions of genes and their
encoded proteins. Studies of human genetic diseases
also highlight the importance of this often overlooked
aspect of gene biology. Different mutations in the same
gene can cause surprisingly diverse mutation-specific
diseases. For example, mutations in different regions of
the protein phosphatase SHP-2 cause diseases as diverse
as juvenile leukaemia and severe cardiac malformations
are clearly needed to fully understand gene function in
vertebrate model systems.

One approach to meeting this need is a technique
known as TILLING (Targeting Induced Local Lesions in
Genomes) (Stemple, 2004). Following mutagenesis,
selected genes are amplified by PCR and then screened
by direct sequencing, digestion with a mismatch-targeting
endonuclease such as Cell, or by liquid chromatography
(dHPLC) to detect induced mutations. This type of tech-
nique has successfully isolated mutations in specific
genes in plants, *Drosophila*, zebrafish and mice. So, why
has it not had a greater impact on our understanding of
gene function? In part, this is because of inherent prob-
lems with the established model organisms. Such screens
in the mouse are carried out on libraries of mutagenized
embryonic stem (ES) cells and often identify numerous
mutant cell lines for the targeted gene (Chen *et al*.,
2000). However, it is expensive and laborious to produce
mice from these lines by blastocyst injection and breed-
ing and so it is often necessary to choose those lines with
mutations that are thought likely to affect gene function.
This introduces a bias against uncovering novel func-
tional domains and therefore undermines the usefulness
of the approach. While in zebrafish the technique screens
heterozygous mutation carriers (Wienholds *et al.*, 2002),
of which can be raised and used as founders for mutant
lines, the presence of duplicated genes in the zebrafish
genome poses a potential problem for mutant analysis.
The presence of these duplicated genes is thought to
stem from a fish-specific genome duplication event in an
ancestor of teleost fishes (reviewed in Meyer and Van de
Peer, 2005). While many duplicated genes have been lost
in other teleost species, such as fugu, duplicate copies
have been retained in zebrafish. This complicates the
interpretation of the effects of mutations in some genes
as a result of the potential for functional redundancy
between duplicated copies.

*X. tropicalis* is free of many of the problems associ-
ated with other vertebrates used for reverse genetic
screens, while retaining their advantages. It is closely
related to mammals in terms of both the copy number
and arrangement of genes in its genome (see earlier dis-
cussion) and, as with zebrafish, TILLING techniques
can be applied to large numbers of animals to screen for
those that are homozygous carriers of mutations in spe-
cific genes. Efforts are currently underway to screen for
mutations in many important developmental genes by
direct sequencing and by dHPLC. An early report has
described the successful isolation of animals carrying a
nonsense mutation in the *NFATC3* gene (Goda *et al*.,
2006) and improvements to the screening approaches
are likely to increase the yield of such mutants.

**THE FUTURE FOR THE FROG**

The sequencing of the *X. tropicalis* genome was not
driven simply by a desire to compare its genome with
that of other species. As we have described, the genome
sequence is already driving *Xenopus* research in new
directions that can illuminate human biology. Although
there are currently no *Xenopus* models of human dis-
ease, it seems inevitable that these will arrive. When
they do, the accessibility of *Xenopus* throughout its life
cycle will open up new avenues for research into poten-
tial treatments through the large-scale screening of drug
libraries (Tomlinson *et al.*, 2005). Much still needs to be
done to characterize the effects of a variety of mutagens
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in order to optimize the efficiency of both forward and reverse genetics in the frog but this work is underway and such screens, together with the genome sequence, transgenesis and other tools such as the UAS-Gal4 system (Chae et al., 2002) promise to turn Xenopus tropicalis into a valuable new genetic system for studying development and its control by complex genetic networks.

**APPENDIX**

**General Xenopus tropicalis Resource Sites**

Xenbase
- A general Xenopus web resource and excellent jump site http://www.xenbase.org/

JGI
- The Joint Genome Institute Xenopus tropicalis genome sequencing site http://genome.jgi-psf.org/Xentr4/Xentr4.home.html

The Xenopus tropicalis genetic map (from the University of Houston) http://tropmap.biology.uh.edu/

Trans-NIH Initiative
- Reports, publications and funding opportunities from the NIH for Xenopus tropicalis http://www.nih.gov/science/models/xenopus/

**Xenopus tropicalis EST and BAC Data Bases and Sources**

IMAGE Consortium

NIH Xenopus tropicalis EST database
- http://xgc.nci.nih.gov/

Sanger Xenopus tropicalis EST data base
- http://www.sanger.ac.uk/Projects/X_tropicalis/

The Japanese Government sponsored Xenopus tropicalis EST database
- http://xenopus.nibb.ac.jp/

The British Government (MRC) sponsored Xenopus tropicalis EST database
- http://www.geneservice.co.uk/products/cdna/XtropEST.jsp

The Wellcome Xenopus tropicalis full-length cDNA database
- http://informatics.gurdon.cam.ac.uk/online/xt-fl-db.html

CHORI BAC Resources
- Information and source for Xenopus tropicalis BAC and BAC library http://bacpac.chori.org/xenopus216.htm

**Phylogenetic Genome Comparison Sites**

NCBI genome viewer and BLAST site

Phylofoot
- Phylogenetic jump page and general resource http://www.phylofoot.org/

Metazome
- Comparative genomic analysis and synteny database http://www.metazome.net/

DCODE
- Phylogenetic tool based website and jump page at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory http://www.dcode.org/

ECR (from DCODE)
- A very user friendly phylogenetic analysis tool http://ecrbrowser.dcode.org/

MAVID
- Multiple genomic alignment server http://baboon.math.berkeley.edu/mavid/

VISTA
- Comparative genomic server http://pipeline.lbl.gov/cgi-bin/gateway2

Sequence Formats Conversions Site
- As its title says, a useful site for format conversions between most of the phylogenetic footprinting sites http://bioweb.pasteur.fr/seqanal/formats-uk.html

**Prediction of Cis-Regulatory and Transcription Factor Binding Sites**

TRED
- Genome-wide cis-regulatory element prediction and annotation tool http://rulai.cshl.edu/TRED

TRANSFAC
- Free online computational program for the identification of transcription factor binding sites http://www.gene-regulation.com/

JASPAR
- Free online computational program for the identification of transcription factor binding sites http://mordor.cgb.ki.se/cgi-bin/jasper2005/jasper_db.pl

MAPPER
- Multi-data based computational tool for the identification of transcription factor binding sites http://bio.chip.org/mapper

ABS
- Public database of known binding sites identified in promoters of orthologous vertebrate genes http://genome.imim.es/datasets/abs2005/index.html

EEL
- Free software for the identification of regulatory elements based on the identification of clustered transcription factor binding sites http://www.cs.helsinki.fi/u/kpalin/EEL/

Stubb
- Free online program allowing long genomic sequences to be searched for clusters of transcription factor
binding sites and has replaced its fore-runner, Ahab. http://stubb.rockefeller.edu/

Windowfit
Free online program for analysis of smaller, enhancer-length sequences to identify binding sites and predict their strength. http://stubb.rockefeller.edu/
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