

**ESTIMATING ERROR IN MEASURES OF PARTY POSITIONING:  
EXPERT, MANIFESTO, AND SURVEY DATA COMPARED.**

Special issue for **Electoral Studies** (2006), edited by Gary Marks

- 1) Gary Marks, 'Introduction: Triangulation and the Square-root Law'
- 2) Leonard Ray, 'Validity of Measured Party Positions on European Integration: Assumptions, Approaches, and a Comparison of Alternative Measures'
- 3) Gary Marks, Liesbet Hooghe, Marco Steenbergen, and Ryan Bakker, 'Cross-validating Data on Party Positioning on European Integration'
- 4) Catherine E. Netjes and Harmen A. Binnema, 'The Saliency of the EU Integration Issue: Four Data Sources Compared'
- 5) Michael D. McDonald, Silvia M. Mendes, and Myunghee Kim, 'Cross-temporal and Cross-national Comparisons of Party Left-Right Positions'
- 6) Hans Keman, 'Experts & Manifestos across Time - a comparison [1984-1999]'
- 7) Kenneth Benoit and Michael Laver, 'Estimating party policy positions: Comparing expert surveys and hand coded content analysis'
- 8) Stephen Whitefield, Milada Anna Vachudova, Marco Steenbergen, Robert Rohrschneider, Gary Marks, Paul Loveless, Liesbet Hooghe, 'Do Expert Surveys Produce Consistent Estimates of Party Stances on European Integration? Comparing expert surveys in the difficult case of Central and Eastern Europe.'
- 9) Andrea Volkens, 'Strength and Weaknesses of Approaches to MPP with Special Reference to Reliability'
- 10) Ian Budge and Paul Pennings, 'Do they work? Validating computerised word frequency estimates against long policy series'
- 11) Kenneth Benoit and Michael Laver, 'benchmarks for text analysis: A response to Budge and Pennings'
- 12) Ian Budge and Paul Pennings, 'Missing the message and shooting the messenger: Benoit and Laver's 'response''