

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY MANUSCRIPT REVIEWER FORM

John Lucas
 Program Manager: Black Coal Utilization
 Department of Chemical Engineering
 The University of Newcastle
 NSW australia 2308
 USA

Thank you for agreeing to provide a review of the following manuscript:

COPY

Manuscript No. → Author(s) → Abbreviated Title →	
--	--

To assist us in expediting the review process, we ask that you send your review by _____ to the following Editor, preferably by FAX or E-Mail. (Your review will be handled confidentially regardless of how it is transmitted.):

Dr. John C. Crittenden, Associate Editor
 CenCITT
 Michigan Technological University
 1400 Townsend Drive
 840 Dow Environmental Sciences Building
 Houghton MI 49931

VOICE: 906-487-3551 (ES&T)
 FAX: 906-487-3010
 EMAIL: cst@mtu.edu

In the spaces below please give us your summary recommendation and rating of the manuscript.

Recommendation

- Accept, usually with minor revisions
- Major changes needed, outcome in doubt
- Reject

Summary Rating

	EXCEL	GOOD	FAIR	POOR
Originality				
Technical quality				
Clarity of presentation				
Importance to field				

On separate pages, it is essential that you explain your recommendation. To be most helpful, your review should:

- Judge objectively and thoroughly the quality and significance of work described in the manuscript.
- Evaluate the theoretical and experimental work reported, with reference to whether the methodology is adequate and explicitly stated.
- Evaluate the exposition of the work with due regard to high literary standards and brevity.

Please indicate the manuscript number on each page of your review.

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWERS

Dr. John C. Crittenden, Associate Editor
CenCITT

Michigan Technological University
1400 Townsend Drive
840 Dow Environmental Sciences Building
Houghton MI 49931

VOICE: 906-487-3551 (ES&T)
FAX: 906-487-3010
EMAIL: est@mtu.edu

COPY

We need your review of the enclosed manuscript that has been submitted to *Environmental Science & Technology* for possible publication. *Environmental Science & Technology* is devoted to the publication of original research concerned with the fields of water, air, and waste chemistry and with other scientific and technical fields relevant to the understanding and management of water, air, and land environments.

Contributed research papers will, in general, describe complete and fully interpreted results of original research. Critical Reviews are thoroughly documented assessments of selected areas of the environmental science and engineering literature for the purpose of identifying critical research needs and encouraging applications of new knowledge.

Reviewers are not expected to rewrite papers. A manuscript that is poorly written or unclear should be returned to the editor with the simple request that the presentation be clarified before its scientific merits can be reviewed. We particularly invite your attention to the matter of manuscript length. If a manuscript is longer than 25 pages (double spaced, including tables and figures) it may be too long, unless the work is unusual in depth and/or breadth. Reviewers are encouraged to comment on this matter and to offer specific suggestions for condensation.

To be most helpful to the editors, a review should (i) judge objectively and thoroughly the quality and significance of work described in the manuscript; (ii) evaluate the theoretical and experimental work reported with reference to whether the methodology is adequate and explicitly stated; (iii) evaluate the exposition of the work with due regard to high literary standards and brevity. For a manuscript judged acceptable, the review should include a brief statement describing its significant contribution with suggestions for minor improvements if needed. If a manuscript requires major modifications or rejection, the review comments should be clear and concisely stated in language that can be transmitted to the authors. Authors of manuscripts that are declined because of low quality should not be advised to submit their papers to another journal. Recommendations that are returned without written comments or with a cursory review are not helpful and will be discarded.

Completed reviews can be returned to the editor listed above by fax, electronic mail, or in the postage paid envelope provided to reviewers in the USA.