

CONFIDENTIAL UNTIL PUBLIC RELEASE

U.S. News & World Report 2007 Rankings Compared to 2006, 2005, 2004, 2003, 2002, 2001, 2000 and 1999

| Institution Name | National Rank | Overall Score | Academic Reputation (5.0=highest) | Grad/Retention Rank | Aver. Freshmen Retention | Grad Rate Predicted | Grad Rate Actual | % Difference | Faculty Resources Rank | % Classes <20 | % Classes 50+ | Student/Faculty Ratio | % Fulltime Faculty | Selectivity Rank | SAT/ACT 25th-75th Percentile | Freshman in top 10% of HS class | Acceptance Rate | Financial Resources Rank | Alumni Giving Rank | Aver. Alumni Giving Rate |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| UC-Berkeley 2007 | 21 | 78 | 4.8 | 25 | 97% | 85% | 89% | +4 | 38 | 61% | 14% | 15/1 | 91% | 14 | 1200-1450 | 99% | 24% | 40 | 117 | 14% |
| UC-Berkeley 2006 | 21 | 77 | 4.7 | 25 | 97% | 90% | 87% | -3 | 40 | 59% | 15% | 15/1 | 91% | 14 | 1220-1450 | 99% | 27% | 39 | 109 | 14% |
| UC-Berkeley 2005 | 20 | 79 | 4.8 | 27 | 96% | 89% | 87% | -2 | 39 | 58% | 15% | 16/1 | 91% | 14 | 1200-1450 | 99% | 25% | 39 | 110 | 15% |
| UC-Berkeley 2004 | 21 | 78 | 4.8 | 26 | 96% | 87% | 85% | -2 | 39 | 54% ¹ | 17% ¹ | 16/1 | 92% | 13 | 1190-1440 | 99% | 24% | 36 | 109 | 15% |
| UC-Berkeley 2003 | 21 | 77 | 4.7 | 26 | 95% | 87% | 84% | -3 | 45 | 54% | 17% | 16/1 | 91% | 13 | 1180-1440 | 99% | 24% | 39 | 105 | 15% |
| UC-Berkeley 2002 | 20 | 78 | 4.8 | 23 | 95% | 86% | 82% | -4 | 38 | 57% | 15% | 16/1 | 91% | 12 | 1170-1440 | 99% | 25% | 38 | 92 | 17% |
| UC-Berkeley 2001 | 20 | 82 | 4.8 | 27 | 95% | 86% | 83% | -3 | 37 | 58% | 16% | 17/1 | 92% | 11 | 1200-1450 | 99% | 26% | 40 | 93 | 18% |
| UC-Berkeley 2000 | 20 | 83 | 4.8 | 29 | 95% | 81% | 82% | +1 | 35 | 58% | 16% | 17/1 | 92% | 12 | 1200-1430 | 98% | 27% | 42 | 86 | 18% |
| UC-Berkeley 1999 | 20 | 76 | 4.7 | 29 | 95% | 80% | 83% | +3 | 38 | 58% | 16% | 17/1 | 92% | 13 | 1230-1450 | 95% | 28% | 40 | 81 | 18% |
| Michigan 2007 | 25 | 73 | 4.5 | 26 | 96% | 83% | 87% | +4 | 69 | 45% | 17% | 15/1 | 92% | 23 | 27-31 | 90% | 47% | 29 | 83 | 17% |
| Michigan 2006 | 24 | 75 | 4.5 | 28 | 96% | 77% | 86% | +9 | 69 | 43% | 16% | 15/1 | 92% | 22 | 26-31 | 89% | 57% | 31 | 105 | 15% |
| Michigan 2005 | 25 | 75 | 4.5 | 27 | 96% | 79% | 87% | +8 | 60 | 46% | 16% | 15/1 | 92% | 24 | 26-30 | 90% | 62% | 29 | 129 | 13% |
| Michigan 2004 | 22 | 76 | 4.6 | 26 | 96% | 75% | 85% | +10 | 53 | 49% | 16% | 15/1 | 91% | 18 | 26-30 | 90% | 53% | 28 | 120 | 14% |
| Michigan 2003 | 25 | 75 | 4.6 | 26 | 96% | 78% | 84% | +6 | 55 | 48% | 16% | 15/1 | 92% | 24 | 26-30 | 87% | 49% | 30 | 111 | 15% |
| Michigan 2002 | 25 | 72 | 4.5 | 23 | 95% | 76% | 83% | +7 | 55 | 50% | 17% | 15/1 | 91% | 32 | 26-30 | 69% | 52% | 38 | 126 | 14% |
| Michigan 2001 | 25 | 77 | 4.6 | 26 | 95% | 74% | 82% | +8 | 52 | 50% | 15% | 16/1 | 92% | 34 | 25-30 | 67% | 55% | 42 | 141 | 13% |
| Michigan 2000 | 25 | 78 | 4.5 | 24 | 95% | 77% | 82% | +5 | 45 | 51% | 16% | 16/1 | 92% | 34 | 26-30 | 63% | 64% | 41 | 134 | 13% |
| Michigan 1999 | 25 | 73 | 4.5 | 24 | 94% | 76% | 83% | +7 | 43 | 51% | 15% | 15/1 | 92% | 30 | 25-30 | 63% | 59% | 36 | 128 | 13% |
| Virginia 2007 | 23 | 74 | 4.3 | 13 | 97% | 88% | 92% | +4 | 36 | 49% | 15% | 15/1 | 98% | 26 | 1220-1430 | 88% | 37% | 57 | 36 | 25% |
| Virginia 2006 | 24 | 75 | 4.3 | 14 | 97% | 86% | 93% | +7 | 35 | 47% | 16% | 15/1 | 96% | 26 | 1220-1430 | 86% | 38% | 56 | 33 | 26% |
| Virginia 2005 | 23 | 76 | 4.3 | 14 | 97% | 85% | 92% | +7 | 36 | 49% | 16% | 15/1 | 96% | 25 | 1230-1430 | 84% ² | 39% | 55 | 30 | 27% |
| Virginia 2004 | 22 | 76 | 4.3 | 12 | 97% | 84% | 92% | +8 | 39 | 48% | 15% | 16/1 | 96% | 26 | 1230-1430 | 85% ² | 39% | 49 | 31 | 26% |
| Virginia 2003 | 21 | 77 | 4.4 | 12 | 97% | 84% | 92% | +8 | 44 | 48% | 15% | 16/1 | 96% | 25 | 1220-1420 | 84% | 39% | 54 | 29 | 27% |
| Virginia 2002 | 23 | 76 | 4.3 | 9 | 97% | 82% | 92% | +10 | 35 | 49% | 15% | 16/1 | 95% | 20 | 1210-1420 | 82% | 38% | 66 | 30 | 29% |
| Virginia 2001 | 21 | 81 | 4.4 | 9 | 97% | 79% | 91% | +12 | 28 | 50% | 15% | 15/1 | 96% | 21 | 1200-1410 | 83% | 39% | 66 | 29 | 29% |
| Virginia 2000 | 20 | 83 | 4.4 | 9 | 97% | 80% | 91% | +11 | 28 | 50% | 14% | 16/1 | 95% | 19 | 1210-1410 | 82% | 34% | 64 | 31 | 29% |
| Virginia 1999 | 22 | 75 | 4.3 | 9 | 97% | 80% | 92% | +12 | 42 | 44% | 16% | 13/1 | 94% | 19 | 1210-1410 | 79% | 34% | 62 | 32 | 28% |
| UCLA 2007 | 25 | 73 | 4.2 | 24 | 97% | 83% | 89% | +6 | 42 | 54% | 20% | 16/1 | 89% | 19 | 1180-1410 | 97% | 26% | 26 | 117 | 14% |
| UCLA 2006 | 26 | 74 | 4.3 | 24 | 97% | 88% | 87% | -1 | 50 | 51% | 21% | 18/1 | 90% | 17 | 1170-1410 | 97% | 27% | 24 | 105 | 15% |
| UCLA 2005 | 25 | 75 | 4.3 | 22 | 97% | 85% | 87% | +2 | 48 | 50% | 22% | 18/1 | 90% | 18 | 1180-1410 | 97% | 23% | 24 | 97 | 16% |
| UCLA 2004 | 25 | 75 | 4.3 | 26 | 96% | 84% | 87% | +3 | 39 | 50% | 22% | 18/1 | 90% | 18 | 1160-1410 | 97% | 24% | 22 | 93 | 16% |
| UCLA 2003 | 26 | 74 | 4.3 | 26 | 97% | 84% | 85% | +1 | 45 | 49% | 23% | 18/1 | 91% | 19 | 1140-1390 | 97% | 24% | 22 | 129 | 13% |
| UCLA 2002 | 25 | 72 | 4.3 | 30 | 97% | 80% | 82% | +2 | 48 | 49% | 24% | 17/1 | 91% | 18 | 1160-1400 | 97% | 27% | 26 | 142 | 12% |
| UCLA 2001 | 26 | 76 | 4.3 | 32 | 96% | 79% | 80% | +1 | 56 | 47% | 24% | 17/1 | 91% | 17 | 1170-1400 | 97% | 29% | 28 | 156 | 12% |
| UCLA 2000 | 25 | 78 | 4.3 | 30 | 96% | 74% | 80% | +6 | 69 | 43% | 28% | 18/1 | 90% | 16 | 1170-1400 | 97% | 29% | 27 | 137 | 13% |
| UCLA 1999 | 25 | 73 | 4.3 | 31 | 95% | 74% | 78% | +4 | 40 | 41% | 28% | 18/1 | 91% | 16 | 1170-1380 | 97% | 33% | 28 | 134 | 13% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2007 | 28 | 70 | 4.2 | 32 | 96% | 82% | 84% | +2 | 50 | 47% | 11% | 14/1 | 97% | 33 | 1200-1390 | 76% | 34% | 31 | 46 | 23% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2006 | 27 | 72 | 4.2 | 32 | 96% | 79% | 84% | +5 | 40 | 50% | 11% | 14/1 | 97% | 35 | 1210-1390 | 74% | 37% | 34 | 45 | 23% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2005 | 27 | 73 | 4.2 | 34 | 95% | 77% | 81% | +4 | 39 | 54% | 11% | 14/1 | 97% | 34 | 1190-1390 | 74% | 36% | 29 | 40 | 24% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2004 | 29 | 71 | 4.2 | 34 | 95% | 77% | 83% | +6 | 50 | 51% | 12% | 14/1 | 97% | 33 | 1190-1390 | 70% | 37% | 28 | 36 | 25% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2003 | 29 | 70 | 4.2 | 33 | 95% | 78% | 80% | +2 | 71 | 40% | 13% | 14/1 | 97% | 34 | 1180-1370 | 71% | 35% | 28 | 41 | 25% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2002 | 28 | 70 | 4.2 | 33 | 95% | 73% | 79% | +6 | 63 | 39% | 12% | 14/1 | 97% | 34 | 1150-1370 | 64% | 40% | 29 | 47 | 25% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2001 | 28 | 75 | 4.2 | 30 | 94% | 72% | 79% | +7 | 71 | 41% | 12% | 14/1 | 96% | 32 | 1140-1360 | 65% | 37% | 31 | 42 | 25% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 2000 | 25 | 78 | 4.2 | 28 | 95% | 72% | 80% | +8 | 57 | 42% | 12% | 14/1 | 97% | 29 | 1140-1360 | 68% | 39% | 31 | 41 | 26% |
| UNC-Chapel Hill 1999 | 27 | 72 | 4.2 | 26 | 94% | 70% | 82% | +12 | 70 | 40% | 12% | 16/1 | 96% | 28 | 1130-1340 | 69% | 35% | 29 | 41 | 26% |

Source: U.S. News & World Report America's Best Colleges 2000 - 2008

¹ Data reported to U.S. News & World Report in previous years

² Data based on fewer than 51 percent of enrolled freshmen