

Robust M-Estimation for Heavy Tailed
Nonlinear AR-GARCH with an Application
to Financial Returns

Jonathan B. Hill

Dept. of Economics
University of North Carolina - Chapel Hill

Conference on New Developments in
Econometrics and Time Series

Brussels, 2011

□ Heavy tail robust M-estimation

Nonlinear AR-GARCH Model

$$y_t = f(x_t, \phi^0) + u_t \text{ where } u_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = g(u_{t-1}, \sigma_{t-1}^2, \theta^0), \theta^0 = [\phi^{0'}, \beta^{0'}]' \in \mathbb{R}^{p+s=q}, \text{ note } \phi^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

The error ϵ_t is *iid*, $E[\epsilon_t] = 0$ and $E[\epsilon_t^2] = 1$.

The regressors $x_t \in \mathcal{R}^k$ are lags of y_t (can allow others).

Response f and g are *twice differentiable* in all arguments.

Unique θ^0 such that y_t is stationary, ergodic.

□ Heavy tail robust M-estimation

Methodology : negligible (i.e. tail) trimming on criterion

- *Easy to compute*
- *Standard asymptotics : Gaussian estimator limit*
- *Nearly the highest convergence rate possible*

□ Heavy tail robust M-estimation

Methodology : negligible (i.e. tail) trimming on criterion

Tail-trimming method easily extends to *inference*

Moment Condition tests (Hill and Aguilar 10: JE)

Tests of volatility spillover (Aguilar and Hill 11)

Consistent tests of functional (Hill 2011: Springer)

Extends to *GMM* and *GEL* (Hill/Renault 10, Hill/Prokhorov 11)

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

Example: GARCH(1,1) - QMTTL

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{arginf}_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^n \left\{ \ln \sigma_t^2(\theta) + \frac{y_t^2}{\sigma_t^2(\theta)} \right\} \times \hat{I}_{n,t}(\theta)$$

where $\hat{I}_{n,t}(\theta)$ negligibly trims : $\hat{I}_{n,t}(\theta) \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\hat{I}_{n,t}(\theta) \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1$ *uniformly*.

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

Example: GARCH(1,1) - QMTTL

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{arginf}_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^n \left\{ \ln \sigma_t^2(\theta) + \frac{y_t^2}{\sigma_t^2(\theta)} \right\} \times \hat{I}_{n,t}(\theta)$$

Negligibility eradicates *bias*, and ensures *normality*.

If $E[\varepsilon_t^4] = \infty$: higher rate $n^{1/2}/L(n)$ than QML and QMWL
(Ling 07)

Exceptional small sample properties.

Contrary to QML - Lumsdaine (95)

Straumann and Mikosch (06)

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Example: AR(p) - LTTS

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{arginf}_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi'x_t)^2 \times \hat{I}_{n,t}(\phi)$$

If u_t is iid and $E[u_t^2] = \infty$ then rate $n^{1/\kappa}/L(n)$ where $\kappa \in (0,2]$ is the tail index.

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Example: AR(p) - LTTS

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{arginf}_{\theta \in \Theta} \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi'x_t)^2 \times \hat{I}_{n,t}(\phi)$$

If u_t is iid and $E[u_t^2] = \infty$ then rate $n^{1/\kappa}/L(n)$ where $\kappa \in (0,2]$ is the tail index.

Exceptional small sample properties.

Nearly the highest possible rate $n^{1/\kappa}$ (Davis, Knight, Liu 92)

□ Asymptotic versus Small Sample (Other) Methods

Methods are *asymptotic* : asymptotic normality and near maximum rate

Log-LAD for GARCH (Peng, Yao 03, Linton et al 10)

Least Weighted Absolute Deviation for AR (Ling 05)

Quasi-Maximum Weighted Likelihood for ARMA-GARCH (Ling 07)

Small sample methods (e.g. Dufour, Hallin, Ibragimov : inference)

Bootstrap (m -out-of- n , $m = o(n)$): Lahiri 95, Arcones and Gine 89)

Non-Gaussian QML (e.g. Boudt and Croux 10)

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Nonlinear AR-GARCH Model

$$y_t = f(x_t, \phi^0) + u_t \text{ where } u_t \text{ may be GARCH}$$

Intuition on trimming: **expansion around ϕ^0**

$$0 = \sum_{t=1}^n u_t f_t^\phi + \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n u_t(\phi_*) f_t^{\phi, \phi}(\phi_*) - \sum_{t=1}^n f_t^\phi(\phi_*) f_t^\phi(\phi_*)' \right\} \times (\hat{\phi}_n - \phi^0)$$

Criterion: $\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi'x_t)^2 \times \hat{I}_{n,t}(\phi)$: $\hat{I}_{n,t}(\phi)$ reflects source of extremes:

$$u_t(\phi) \text{ and } f_t^\phi(\phi) \text{ and } f_t^{\phi, \phi}(\phi).$$

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Tail-specific variables and *order statistics*:

$$w_t^{(-)}(\phi) := w_t(\phi)I(w_t(\phi) < 0) \text{ and } w_{(1)}^{(-)}(\phi) \leq w_{(2)}^{(-)}(\phi) \dots$$

$$w_t^{(+)}(\phi) := w_t(\phi)I(w_t(\phi) \geq 0) \text{ and } w_{(1)}^{(+)}(\phi) \geq w_{(2)}^{(+)}(\phi) \dots$$

Number of trimmed variables: *intermediate order sequences*

$$k_{1,n}^{(w)}, k_{2,n}^{(w)} \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } k_{1,n}^{(w)}, k_{2,n}^{(w)} = o(n)$$

$$\text{Trimming indicators : } \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(w)}(\phi) := I\left(w_{(k_{1,n}^{(w)})}^{(-)}(\phi) \leq w_t(\phi) \leq w_{(k_{2,n}^{(w)})}^{(+)}(\phi)\right) \in \{0,1\}$$

$$\text{Trimmed variable : } \hat{w}_{n,t}^*(\phi) := w_t(\phi)\hat{I}_{n,t}^{(w)}(\phi)$$

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Symmetric $w_t^{(a)}(\phi) := \lfloor w_t(\phi) \rfloor$ and $w_{(1)}^{(a)}(\phi) \leq w_{(2)}^{(a)}(\phi) \dots$

Number of trimmed variables: *intermediate order sequence*

$$k_n^{(w)} \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } k_n^{(w)} = o(n)$$

Trimming indicators : $\hat{I}_{n,t}^{(w)}(\phi) := I\left(\lfloor w_t(\phi) \rfloor \leq w_{(k_n^{(w)})}^{(a)}(\phi)\right) \in \{0,1\}$

Trimmed variable : $\hat{w}_{n,t}^*(\phi) := w_t(\phi) \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(w)}(\phi)$

Trimming is negligible: $\hat{I}_{n,t}^{(w)}(\phi) \rightarrow 1$ a. s. hence $\hat{w}_{n,t}^*(\phi) \rightarrow w_t(\phi)$ a. s.

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

NLLS indicators:

$$\hat{I}_{n,t}^{(u)}(\phi) = I\left(u_{(k_{1,n}^{(u)})}^{(-)}(\phi) \leq u_t(\phi) \leq u_{(k_{2,n}^{(u)})}^{(+)}(\phi)\right)$$

$$\hat{I}_{n,t}^{(f^\phi)}(\phi) := \prod_{i=1}^p \hat{I}_{i,n,t}^{(f^\phi)}(\phi) = \prod_{i=1}^p I\left(f_{i,(k_{1,i,n}^{(f^\phi)})}^{\phi(-)}(\phi) \leq f_t^\phi(\phi) \leq f_{i,(k_{2,i,n}^{(f^\phi)})}^{\phi(+)}(\phi)\right)$$

$$\hat{I}_{n,t}^{(f^{\phi,\phi})}(\phi) := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq p} \hat{I}_{i,j,n,t}^{(f^{\phi,\phi})}(\phi)$$

NLLTTS criterion $\hat{Q}_n(\phi) := \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - f(x_t, \phi))^2 \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(u)}(\phi) \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(f^\phi)}(\phi) \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(f^{\phi,\phi})}(\phi)$

Estimator $\hat{\phi}_n := \arg \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \hat{Q}_n(\phi)$

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Define $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} m_t(\phi)$

Assumptions: A1-A5

A1. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **absolutely continuous distributions**

Ensures $\hat{\phi}_n := \arg \inf_{\phi \in \Phi} \hat{Q}_n(\phi)$ exists, and *first order condition*:

$$\sum_{t=1}^n u_t(\hat{\phi}_n) f_t^\phi(\hat{\phi}_n) \times \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(u)}(\hat{\phi}_n) \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(f^\phi)}(\hat{\phi}_n) \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(f^{\phi,\phi})}(\hat{\phi}_n) = 0 \text{ a. s. (only } \hat{\phi}_n)$$

Čížek (2008).

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Recall $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t(\phi)$

Assumptions: A1-A5

A1. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **absolutely continuous distributions**

A2. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **Paretian tails** if $E[m_{i,t}^2(\phi)] = \infty, E|G_{i,j,t}(\phi)| = \infty$

Simplifies trimmed moment representations: Karamata's Theorem

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Recall $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t(\phi)$

Assumptions: A1-A5

A1. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **absolutely continuous distributions**

A2. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **Paretian tails** if $E[m_{i,t}^2(\phi)] = \infty, E|G_{i,j,t}(\phi)| = \infty$

A3. $\{y_t, u_t\}$ are **geometrically β -mixing**

Ensures CLT for tail-trimmed arrays (Hill 10: NED, strong mixing)

Ensures UCTL for indicators (Doukan et al 95)

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Recall $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t(\phi)$

Assumptions: A1-A5

A1. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **absolutely continuous distributions**

A2. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **Paretian tails** if $E[m_{i,t}^2(\phi)] = \infty, E|G_{i,j,t}(\phi)| = \infty$

A3. $\{y_t, u_t\}$ are **geometrically β -mixing**

A4. **Sufficient trimming**

If $E|m_t| = \infty$ then $k_{j,n}^{(u)}/n^{2(1-\kappa_{m_i})/(2-\kappa_{m_i})} \rightarrow \infty$: κ_{m_i} tail index $m_{i,t}$

Ensures sufficient trimming if $E|m_t| = \infty$: as $\kappa_{m_i} \searrow 0$ then $k_{j,n}^{(u)} \nearrow n$.

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Recall $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t(\phi)$

Assumptions: A1-A5

A1. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **absolutely continuous distributions**

A2. $\{m_{i,t}(\phi), G_{i,j,t}(\phi)\}$ **Paretian tails** if $E[m_{i,t}^2(\phi)] = \infty, E|G_{i,j,t}(\phi)| = \infty$

A3. $\{y_t, u_t\}$ are **geometrically β -mixing**

A4. **Sufficient trimming:** $k_{j,n}^{(u)}/L(n) \rightarrow \infty$ for slowly varying $L(n) \rightarrow \infty$

A5. **Identification sufficiently fast:** $E[\hat{m}_{n,t}^*] \rightarrow 0$

Trivial if u_t is independent of y_{t-i} and symmetric.

Otherwise: regularity condition.

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Recall $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t(\phi)$

Scale construction for asymptotic normality

Tail quantiles thresholds: for any random variable w_t

$$P\left(w_t(\phi) < \underbrace{-l_n^{(w)}(\phi)}\right) = \frac{k_{1,n}^{(w)}}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad P\left(w_t(\phi) > \underbrace{u_n^{(w)}(\phi)}\right) = \frac{k_{2,n}^{(w)}}{n}$$

$$\text{Note } \sup_{\phi} \left| \frac{w_{\left(k_{1,n}^{(w)}\right)}^{(-)}(\phi)}{l_n^{(w)}(\phi)} - 1 \right| = O_p\left(\left(k_{1,n}^{(w)}\right)^{-1/2}\right) \text{ etc ...}$$

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Recall $m_t(\phi) := u_t(\phi)f_t^\phi(\phi)$ and $G_t(\phi) := \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t(\phi)$

Scale construction for asymptotic normality

Trimming indicators : $I_{n,t}^{(w)}(\theta) := I\left(-l_n^{(w)}(\theta) \leq w_t(\theta) \leq u_n^{(w)}(\theta)\right)$

Trimmed equations: $m_{n,t}^*(\phi) = u_t(\phi)I_{n,t}^{(u)}(\phi) \times f_t^\phi(\phi)I_{n,t}^{(f^\phi)}(\phi)I_{n,t}^{(f^\phi,\phi)}(\phi)$

Longrun covariance : $S_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n E[m_{n,s}^* m_{n,t}^{*'}]$ ($m_{n,t}^*$ is not *mds*).

Jacobian : $G_n = E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi}m_t \times I_{n,t}^{(u)} I_{n,t}^{(f^\phi)} I_{n,t}^{(f^\phi,\phi)}\right]$

Scale : $V_n = nG_n'S_n^{-1}G_n$

□ Nonlinear Least Tail-Trimmed Squares

Theorem: Under A1-A5 $V_n^{1/2}(\hat{\phi}_n - \phi^0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_p)$ where $V_n = nG_n'S_n^{-1}G_n$

Remark: Rates $V_{n,i,i}^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$ require response f , dependence u , tail parameters...

Remark: Mimics NLLS for thin-tailed data (and *mds* u_t):

$$V_n^{1/2}(\hat{\phi}_n - \phi^0) \sim n^{-1/2}(E[u_t^2 G_t])^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n u_t G_t$$

where $V_n = n \times E[G_t]'(E[u_t^2 G_t])^{-1}E[G_t]$

NLLS rate of convergence is $n^{1/2}$ if tails thin.

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid Paretian* error.

The error u_t is iid, symmetric, continuous and Paretian:

$$P(|u_t| > u) = du^{-\kappa}(1 + o(1)) \text{ where } d, \kappa > 0$$

Trivially $f_t^\phi = x_t$ and $f_t^{\phi, \phi} = 0$

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid Paretian* error.

The error u_t is iid, symmetric, continuous and Paretian:

$$P(|u_t| > u) = du^{-\kappa}(1 + o(1)) \text{ where } d, \kappa > 0$$

LTTS criterion

$$\hat{Q}_n(\phi) := \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi' x_t)^2 \times \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(u)}(\phi) \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(x)}$$

$$\text{where } \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(u)} \left(|u_t| < u_{(k_n^{(u)})}^{(a)} \right) \text{ and } \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(x)} := \prod_{i=1}^p I \left(|y_{t-i}| < y_{(k_n^{(y)})}^{(a)} \right)$$

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid Paretian* error.

$$\text{Scale } V_n = n G_n' S_n^{-1} G_n$$

$$\text{Independence and neglig. : } G_n = -E \left[x_t x_t' I_{n,t}^{(u)} I_{n,t}^{(x)} \right] \sim - \left[E \left[y_{n,t-i}^* y_{n,t-j}^* \right] \right]_{i,j=1}^p$$

$$\text{Symmetry and independence: } S_n \sim E \left[u_{n,t}^{*2} \right] \times \left[E \left[y_{n,t-i}^* y_{n,t-j}^* \right] \right]_{i,j=1}^p$$

$$\text{LTTS scale mimics OLS: } V_n = n \times \left(E \left[u_{n,t}^{*2} \right] \right)^{-1} \times \left[E \left[y_{n,t-i}^* y_{n,t-j}^* \right] \right]_{i,j=1}^p$$

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid Paretian* error.

Theorem: *Under fractile property A4*

$$n^{1/2} \frac{(E[y_{n,t}^{*2}])^{1/2}}{(E[u_{n,t}^{*2}])^{1/2}} (\hat{\phi}_{i,n} - \phi_i^0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_p)$$

Mixing and tail assumptions hold (An/Huang 96, Brockwell/Cline 85).

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid Paretian* error.

Characterize $n^{1/2} \frac{(E[y_{n,t}^{*2}])^{1/2}}{(E[u_{n,t}^{*2}])^{1/2}} \rightarrow \infty$

by Karamata's Theorem: since $P(|y_t| > c_n^{(y)}) = k_n^{(y)}/n$

$$E[y_{n,t}^{*2}] \sim (c_n^{(y)})^2 P(|y_t| > c_n^{(y)}) = K (n/k_n^{(y)})^{2/\kappa-1}$$

$$E[u_{n,t}^{*2}] \sim (c_n^{(u)})^2 P(|u_t| > c_n^{(u)}) = K (n/k_n^{(u)})^{2/\kappa-1}$$

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid* *Paretian* error.

$$V_{i,i,n}^{1/2} = n^{1/2} \frac{(E[y_{n,t}^{*2}])^{1/2}}{(E[u_{n,t}^{*2}])^{1/2}} \sim Kn^{1/2} \text{ if } \kappa > 2$$

$$V_{i,i,n}^{1/2} \sim Kn^{1/2} \frac{\left(\left(n/k_n^{(y)} \right)^{2/\kappa-1} \right)^{1/2}}{\left(\left(n/k_n^{(u)} \right)^{2/\kappa-1} \right)^{1/2}} = Kn^{1/2} \left(\frac{k_n^{(u)}}{k_n^{(y)}} \right)^{1/\kappa-1/2} \text{ if } \kappa < 2$$

Errors u_t are adverse: harshly trim.

Regressors y_{t-i} provide leverage: lightly trim.

□ Least Tail-Trimmed Squares for AR

Linear AR model: $y_t = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i y_{t-i} + u_t = \phi' x_t + u_t$ with *iid* *Paretian* error.

If $\kappa < 2$: maximize $k_n^{(u)} \sim \lambda_u \frac{n}{\ln(n)} < \lambda_u n$ and minimize $k_n^{(y)} \sim \lambda_y \ln(n) > 0$

$$V_{i,i,n}^{1/2} \sim K n^{1/2} \left(\frac{\lambda_u n / \ln(n)}{\lambda_y \ln(n)} \right)^{1/\kappa - 1/2} = K \left(\frac{\lambda_u}{\lambda_y} \right)^{1/\kappa - 1/2} n^{1/\kappa} / L(n)$$

$$\frac{n^{1/\kappa}}{L(n)} (\hat{\phi}_{i,n} - \phi_i^0) \xrightarrow{d} N \left(0, \left(\frac{\lambda_y}{\lambda_u} \right)^{2/\kappa - 1} v^2 \right) : \text{effic. } \uparrow \text{ as } \lambda_y \searrow 0 \text{ and } \lambda_u \uparrow$$

Nearly highest possible rate $n^{1/\kappa}$ (Davis, Knight, Liu 92...)

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

Stationary GARCH(1,1)

$$y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t \text{ where } u_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$$

$$\sigma_t^2 = \omega^0 + \alpha^0 y_{t-1}^2 + \beta^0 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \quad \omega^0 > 0, \alpha^0, \beta^0 \geq 0 \text{ and } \theta = [\omega, \alpha, \beta]'$$

ϵ_t is *iid* (0,1), with continuous distribution (**can allow *mds* error**)

ϵ_t has Paretian distribution if $E[\epsilon_t^4] = \infty$.

Volatility process: $h_0(\theta) = \omega^0$ and $h_t(\theta) = \omega^0 + \alpha^0 y_{t-1}^2 + \beta^0 h_{t-1}(\theta)$

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

$$\text{QML first order condition: } \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n (\epsilon_t^2(\hat{\theta}_n) - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \ln(h_t(\hat{\theta}_n)) = 0$$

If there are GARCH affects ($\alpha^0 + \beta^0 > 0$) then (Francq/Zakoian 06, 10)

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln(h_t(\theta)) \right|^{2+\iota}, \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} E \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \ln(h_t(\theta)) \right|^{2+\iota} < \infty \text{ for tiny } \iota > 0$$

Only error ϵ_t causes damaging extremes (*obviously*).

QML : need $E[\epsilon_t^4] < \infty$ for strong-GARCH (Francq/Zakoian 06)

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

If GARCH affects then *heavy tails only due to error* ϵ_t .

$$\hat{Q}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\ln h_t(\theta) + \epsilon_t^2(\theta) \right) \times \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(\epsilon)}(\theta)$$

If no effects $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln(h_t)$ and $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j} \ln(h_t) \in \{K, y_{t-1}^2, y_{t-2}^2\}$

$$\hat{Q}_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left(\ln h_t(\theta) + \epsilon_t^2(\theta) \right) \times \hat{I}_{n,t}^{(\epsilon)}(\theta) \hat{I}_{n,t-1}^{(y)} \hat{I}_{n,t-2}^{(y)}$$

QMTTL estimator $\hat{\theta}_n = \operatorname{arginf}_{\theta \in \Theta} \hat{Q}_n(\theta)$

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

Theorem: Under fractile A4 and identif. A5 $V_n^{1/2}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta^0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, I_3)$

If GARCH affects are known: $V_n = n(E[\epsilon_{n,t}^{*4} - 1])^{-1} E[s_t s_t']$

Else $V_n = n(E[\epsilon_{n,t}^{*4} - 1])^{-1} E[s_{n,t}^* s_{n,t}^{*'}]$ where $s_{i,n,t}^* := s_{i,t} I_{n,t-1}^{(y)} I_{n,t-2}^{(y)}$

where $s_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \ln(h_t^*)$ and h_t^* is the stationary solution.

Only need stationarity, $\epsilon_t \sim iid$, $E[\epsilon_t^2] = 1$, and fractile bound.

□ Quasi-Maximum Tail-Trimmed Likelihood

If GARCH affects and $E[\epsilon_t^4] = \infty$ such that $\kappa \in (2,4)$,

$$V_{i,i,n} \sim Kn \frac{1}{\left(n/k_n^{(\epsilon)}\right)^{4/\kappa-1}} = n \left(k_n^{(\epsilon)}/n\right)^{4/\kappa-1} = o(n)$$

No leverage effect: pure error effect, so maximal trimming (near λn):

$$k_n^{(\epsilon)} \sim \frac{n}{L(n)} < \lambda n \text{ for any } \lambda \in (0,1) \text{ then } V_{i,i,n}^{1/2} \sim \frac{n^{1/2}}{L(n)}$$

QML has rate (Hall and Yao 2003) $n^{1-2/\kappa}/L(n) < n^{1/2}/L(n)$, $\kappa \in (2,4)$

QMTTL rate is higher.

□ Simulation Study - LTTS for AR

10,000 samples, $n = 100$ or 800

$$\text{Model } y_t = .2 + .8y_{t-1} - .3y_{t-2} + u_t$$

where u_t is one of the following:

iid Pareto := P_κ with index $\kappa \in \{.75, 1.5, 2.5\}$

or

$$\text{GARCH}(1,1) \ u_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t, \ \sigma_t^2 = .3 + .6u_{t-1}^2 + .3\sigma_{t-1}^2$$

ϵ_t is iid $P_{2.5}$ hence $\kappa_y = 1.5$

□ Simulation Study - LTTS for AR

Fractiles: $k_n^{(u)} = \lceil .05n/\ln(n) \rceil$ and $k_n^{(y)} = \lceil \ln(n) \rceil$

Least Weighted Absolute Deviations (Ling 05)

- weight: Huber (1977), down weights u_t above 5th-percentile
- asymptotically normal, rate $n^{1/2}$

OLS - asymptotically normal, rate $n^{1/\kappa}$

(**LAD** - essentially the same as OLS)

□ **Simulation Study - LTTS for AR**

$$\text{Model } y_t = .2 + .8y_{t-1} - .3y_{t-2} + u_t$$

AR, iid $P_{.75}$, $n = 100$, $\phi_3 = -.3$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
LTTS	-.302	.014	.097	8%
LWAD	-.301	.032	.112	5%
OLS	-.299	.004	.198	-

□ **Simulation Study - LTTS for AR**

$$\text{Model } y_t = .2 + .8y_{t-1} - .3y_{t-2} + u_t$$

AR, GARCH $P_{2.5}$, $n = 100$, $\phi_3 = -.3$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
LTTS	-.296	.020	.036	8%
LWAD	-.304	.034	.055	5%
OLS	-.310	.029	.084	-

□ **Simulation Study - LTTS for AR**

$$\text{Model } y_t = .2 + .8y_{t-1} - .3y_{t-2} + u_t$$

AR, iid $P_{.75}$, $n = 800$, $\phi_3 = -.3$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
LTTS	-.298	.0008	.038	2%
LWAD	-.300	.0006	.043	5%
OLS	-.300	.0006	.258	-

□ **Simulation Study - LTTS for AR**

$$\text{Model } y_t = .2 + .8y_{t-1} - .3y_{t-2} + u_t$$

AR, GARCH $P_{2.5}$, $n = 800$, $\phi_3 = -.3$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
LTTS	-.297	.002	.036	5%
LWAD	-.301	.029	.048	5%
OLS	-.295	.016	.074	-

□ Simulation Study - QMTTL for GARCH

Model $y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ and $\sigma_t^2 = .3 + .3y_{t-1}^2 + .6y_{t-1}^2$

The error ϵ_t is iid $P_{2.5}$ or $N(0,1)$

Tail index $\kappa_y \in \{1.5, 4.1\}$

Fractile: $k_n^{(\epsilon)} = [.05n/\ln(n)]$

Quasi-Maximum Weighted Likelihood (Ling 07) - $E[\epsilon_t^4] < \infty$ (*same as QML*)

Log-LAD (Peng and Yao 03, Linton et al 10)

□ Simulation Study - QMTTL for GARCH

Model $y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ and $\sigma_t^2 = .3 + .3y_{t-1}^2 + .6y_{t-1}^2$

The error ϵ_t is iid $P_{2.5}$ or $N(0,1)$

GARCH, iid $P_{2.5}$, $n = 100$, $\theta_3 = .6$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
QMTTL	.611	.146	.048	1%
QMWL	.685	.147	.236	5%
QML	.691	.141	.252	-
Log-LAD	.526	.176	.170	-

□ Simulation Study - QMTTL for GARCH

Model $y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ and $\sigma_t^2 = .3 + .3y_{t-1}^2 + .6y_{t-1}^2$

The error ϵ_t is iid $P_{2.5}$ or $N(0,1)$

GARCH, iid $P_{2.5}$, $n = 800$, $\theta_3 = .6$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
QMTTL	.603	.036	.036	1%
QMWL	.674	.082	.284	5%
QML	.664	.112	.251	-
Log-LAD	.603	.070	.076	-

□ **Simulation Study - QMTTL for GARCH**

Model $y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ and $\sigma_t^2 = .3 + .3y_{t-1}^2 + .6y_{t-1}^2$

The error ϵ_t is iid $P_{2.5}$ or $N(0,1)$

GARCH, iid $N(0, 1)$, $n = 100$, $\theta_3 = .6$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
QMTTL	.437	.180	.217	1%
QMWL	.509	.196	.191	5%
QML	.502	.181	.186	-
Log-LAD	.416	.228	.288	-

□ Simulation Study - QMTTL for GARCH

Model $y_t = \sigma_t \epsilon_t$ and $\sigma_t^2 = .3 + .3y_{t-1}^2 + .6y_{t-1}^2$

The error ϵ_t is iid $P_{2.5}$ or $N(0,1)$

GARCH, iid $N(0, 1)$, $n = 800$, $\theta_3 = .6$

	MEAN	MSE	KS	Trim %
QMTTL	.563	.065	.144	1%
QMWL	.571	.084	.122	5%
QML	.576	.072	.112	-
Log-LAD	.537	.127	.192	-