

**Structure of Japanese: Final Paper** | Please remember to include and sign the **Honor Pledge** on the first or last page!

	<b>Excellent (A)</b>	<b>Competent (B-C)</b>	<b>Needs work (D-F)</b>
<b>Structure of paper</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intro with RQ, preview of findings</li> <li>• Conclusion that summarizes point</li> <li>• Includes explicit justification for RQ, based on background ref(s)</li> <li>• Findings clearly presented</li> <li>• Claims supported w/ orgnzd evidence</li> <li>• Analysis/interp explicitly justified</li> <li>• Discussion relevant/focused on RQ</li> <li>• Includes linguistic theory and J data</li> <li>• Well organized (section headings, etc.)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Intro, but {RQ   findings} not stated</li> <li>• Conclusion not related to paper</li> <li>• Relationship between RQ, ref(s) not explicitly explained</li> <li>• Findings not fully clear</li> <li>• Evidence sparse or not well orgnzd</li> <li>• Some justification; needs more</li> <li>• Discussion needs more focus on RQ</li> <li>• Only ling theory, or only J data</li> <li>• Organization sometimes unclear</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No intro</li> <li>• No conclusion</li> <li>• No apparent relationship between RQ, ref(s)</li> <li>• Findings very unclear</li> <li>• No supporting evidence</li> <li>• No justification or arguments</li> <li>• No discernable RQ</li> <li>• Neither theory nor data</li> <li>• Organizn extremely unclear</li> </ul>
<i>(if grad student)</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Responds to feedback from presentn</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Responds to some pres. feedback</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pres. feedback not addressed</li> </ul>
<b>Linguistic analysis</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Class concepts used where approp.</li> <li>• Relevant ling theory/model presented clearly, accurately</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some class concepts used</li> <li>• Relevant ling t/m presented, but not clearly/accurately explained</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient use / cls concepts</li> <li>• Ling t/m cited but not explained, or no ling t/m used</li> </ul>
<i>(if focus on ling. theory)</i> <i>WEIGHTED HEAVILY</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Competing models/hypotheses clearly presented, compared, distinguished</li> <li>• J data examined in paper explicitly applied to comparing models/hyp</li> <li>• Paper adds insight; not only summary</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Competing models/hypotheses assumed, but not clearly explained</li> <li>• J data examined is relevant to comparing m/h, but not clearly shown</li> <li>• Summary only; no new insight</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No apparent use of competing models/hypotheses</li> <li>• No discernable relationship between J data, competing m/h</li> </ul>
<b>Japanese data</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approp. amount J data collected/used</li> <li>• Data in <i>kunrei</i> or phonetic trans</li> <li>• Exx have morph-by-morph glosses</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• J data discussed, but needs more</li> <li>• Not <i>kunrei</i>/pht, but systematic</li> <li>• Examples glossed, but not m-b-m</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No J data discussed</li> <li>• Transcription not systematic</li> <li>• No glosses given</li> </ul>
<i>(if new data collected)</i> <i>WEIGHTED HEAVILY</i>  <i>Talk to JS in advance if you think including all your lg data is not feasible.</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Methodology section clear, complete</li> <li>• Incl full materials, e.g., survey, [partial] transcript... (in appendix?)</li> <li>• Incl <b>all?</b> lg data (in appx if necessary)</li> <li>• Relevant data (actual examples!) presented systematically in paper</li> <li>• Quantitative data presented</li> <li>• Helpful graphics used for quant. data</li> <li>• Data results not just presented, but also interpreted — meaning/RQ?</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Methodology partly described</li> <li>• Materials described, but not shown</li> <li>• Data summaries, but not full data</li> <li>• Data (or summary) presented in paper, but not well organized</li> <li>• Data not systematically quantitative</li> <li>• Data graphics used, but not helpful</li> <li>• Findings partly interpreted/related to RQ, but needs more discussion</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Methodology not described</li> <li>• Materials not described</li> <li>• Collected data not included</li> <li>• Disc in paper refers to data, but data not presented in text</li> <li>• No quantitative data</li> <li>• Graphics needed but not used</li> <li>• Mng of findings not discussed</li> </ul>
<b>Mechanics</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Citations in discussion; ling format</li> <li>• Bibliography complete, consistent</li> <li>• ≥1 legitimate ref (for ling background)</li> <li>• At least 10 pages before bib. (data appendix counts as 2 pp at most)</li> <li>• Neat, legible, few or no typos</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Needs more cit., or wrong format</li> <li>• Bib inconsistent, or refs missing</li> <li>• Bkgd refs not integrated into project</li> <li>• Heavy use of direct quotations</li> <li>• At least 8 pages, or 10 pages but obviously padded</li> <li>• Mostly neat/legible; some typos</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Few or no citations</li> <li>• No bibliography</li> <li>• No use of bkgd refs</li> <li>• Shorter than 8 pages</li> <li>• Many errors; hard to read</li> </ul>

**\*\*\*E-mail submission of final paper, or of a large data appendix, is allowed\*\*\***