

EVALUATION OF FAMILY TO FAMILY

Submitted to:

The Annie E. Casey Foundation
701 St. Paul Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Prepared by:

Health and Social Policy Division
Research Triangle Institute
P.O. Box 12194
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

School of Social Work
The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
301 Pittsboro Street
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3550

November 1998

Preface

The evaluation team for FAMILY TO FAMILY included staff from the prime contractor, Research Triangle Institute (RTI), and faculty and staff from its collaborator, the School of Social Work at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill (UNC). Charles L. Usher of UNC served as principal investigator and Deborah A. Gibbs of RTI was project manager. They shared leadership of the evaluation team with Judith B. Wildfire of UNC's Jordan Institute for Families. Harlene Gogan, a demographer at RTI, made critical contributions to the construction and analysis of the data files used by the evaluation team and FAMILY TO FAMILY self-evaluation teams in each site.

The evaluation team received strong support and cooperation at each stage of our work from current and former members of the Foundation's staff, especially John Mattingly, Mike Grady, and Marsha Wickliffe. The same degree of cooperation was shown by nearly every state and local grantee. We particularly appreciate the direct and indirect contributions to our work from members of the FAMILY TO FAMILY technical assistance team, especially colleagues at Metis Associates with whom we worked so closely and Barbara Needell of the University of California at Berkeley for her careful reading of an earlier draft of this report.

Opinions and conclusions expressed in this report are those of the authors and are not necessarily shared by the Annie E. Casey Foundation, its grantees, or its technical assistance contractors.

For further information about the evaluation of FAMILY TO FAMILY, please contact the principal investigator at:

E-Mail: lynn_usher@unc.edu
Voice: 919 / 962-6496
Fax: 919 / 962-1486
Mail: School of Social Work
The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill
301 Pittsboro Street
Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3550

Table of Contents

Executive Summary..... ES-1

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Overview of FAMILY TO FAMILY 1-1

1.2 Conceptual Model 1-6

1.3 Self-Evaluation in FAMILY TO FAMILY 1-9

1.4 Evaluation Design 1-10

1.5 Overview of the Report 1-12

2.0 The Public Policy Context

2.1 The National Context 2-1

 The Family Preservation and Support Services Program 2-2

 AFCARS 2-3

 SACWIS 2-3

 Family preservation and child safety 2-4

 Political changes 2-4

2.2 Alabama 2-4

2.3 New Mexico 2-6

2.4 Ohio 2-8

 Hamilton County 2-9

 Cuyahoga County 2-10

2.5 Maryland 2-11

2.6 Pennsylvania 2-12

2.7 Conclusions 2-14

3.0 Program Management and Structure

3.1 Alabama 3-1

 Cross-agency collaboration 3-1

 Jefferson County 3-2

 Budgetary Trends 3-3

3.2 New Mexico 3-3

3.3 Ohio 3-5

 Hamilton County 3-5

 Cross-system collaboration 3-6

 Budgetary trends 3-7

 Cuyahoga County 3-8

 Budgetary trends 3-10

3.4 Maryland 3-10

 Baltimore City 3-12

 Anne Arundel County 3-14

 Prince George's County 3-14

 Cross-agency collaboration 3-14

3.5 Pennsylvania 3-16

 State 3-16

 Philadelphia 3-19

 Cross-agency collaboration 3-19

 Budget 3-20

3.6 Conclusion 3-21

4.0 Program Operations

4.1	Alabama	4-2
4.1.1	Service Availability	4-2
4.1.2	Foster home placement resources	4-3
4.1.3	Volume and patterns of initial placement	4-5
4.1.4	Neighborhood-based implementation	4-12
4.2	New Mexico	4-14
4.2.1	Service Availability	4-14
4.2.2	Foster home placement resources	4-14
4.2.3	Volume and patterns of initial placements	4-16
4.3	Ohio	4-20
4.3.1	Hamilton County	4-20
	Service availability	4-20
	Foster home placement resources	4-21
	Volume and patterns of placements	4-22
	Neighborhood-based implementation	4-26
	Agency Partnerships	4-28
4.3.2	Cuyahoga County	4-28
	Service availability	4-28
	Foster home placement resources	4-29
	Volume and patterns of placements	4-31
	Neighborhood-based implementation	4-36
4.4	Maryland	4-38
4.4.1	Service availability	4-38
4.4.2	Foster parent resources	4-40
4.4.3	Volume and pattern of initial placements	4-42
4.4.4	Neighborhood-based implementation	4-47
4.5	Pennsylvania	4-47
4.5.1	Service availability	4-47
4.5.2	Foster parent resources	4-49
4.5.3	Volume and pattern of initial placements	4-50
4.5.4	Neighborhood-based implementation	4-55
4.6	Cross-State Assessment	4-55

5.0 Program Impact

5.1	Measuring Outcomes in Child Welfare	5-1
5.2	Alabama	5-5
5.2.1	Placement Stability	5-5
5.2.2	Length of stay and patterns of exit from care	5-8
5.2.3	Length of stay by initial placement type	5-12
5.2.4	Permanent placements and re-entry to care	5-15
5.3	New Mexico	5-19
5.3.1	Disruptions in care	5-19
5.3.2	Length of stay and patterns of exit from care	5-22
5.3.3	Permanent placements and re-entry to care	5-29
5.4	Ohio	5-34
5.4.1	Disruptions in care	5-34
5.4.2	Length of stay and patterns of exit from care	5-39
5.4.3	Length of stay by initial placement	5-46
5.4.4	Patterns of permanent placement and re-entry to care	5-51

5.5	Maryland	5-56
5.5.2	Length of stay	5-59
5.5.3	Length of stay by initial placement	5-61
5.5.4	Permanent placements and re-entry	5-66
5.6	Pennsylvania	5-70
5.6.1	Disruptions in care	5-70
5.6.2	Length of stay by initial placement type	5-72
5.6.3	Permanent placements and re-entry to care	5-78
5.7	Cross-State Assessment	5-80
6.0 Self Evaluation		6-1
6.1	Alabama	6-1
6.2	New Mexico	6-2
6.3	Ohio	6-4
	Hamilton County	6-5
	Cuyahoga County	6-6
6.4	Maryland	6-7
6.5	Pennsylvania	6-8
6.6	Cross-State Assessment	6-9

Exhibits

1.0 Introduction

Exhibit 1.1: The Policy and Program Context of Child Welfare Services	1-8
---	-----

3.0 Program Management and Structure

Exhibit 3.1: Expenditures and Staff Positions in New Mexico: 1995-1997	3-4
Exhibit 3.2: Allocated Revenues for DCFS (in millions of dollars)	3-10
Exhibit 3.3: Examples of FAMILY TO FAMILY Program Changes in Pennsylvania Expansion Counties	3-18
Exhibit 3.4: Summary of Philadelphia Expenditures for Children and Youth	3-19

4.0 Program Operations

Exhibit 4.1: Number of Agency Approved Foster Homes in Jefferson County	4-5
Exhibit 4.2: Initial Admissions to Care in Jefferson County	4-7
Exhibit 4.3: Number and Types of Initial Admissions to Care in Alabama: 1989 - 1996	4-8
Exhibit 4.4: Initial Entries into Out-of-Home Care, 1990 to 1996 as a Percentage of 1989 Entries, Selected Counties in Alabama	4-9
Exhibit 4.5: Initial Admissions to Care in Jefferson County: 1989 - 1996	4-10
Exhibit 4.6: Initial Admissions to Care in Alabama: 1989 - 1996	4-11
Exhibit 4.7: Entries to Care in New Mexico: 1990 - 1996	4-16
Exhibit 4.8: Initial Admissions to Care in Bernalillo County: 1990 - 1996	4-17
Exhibit 4.9: Initial Admissions to Care in Comparison FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	4-19
Exhibit 4.10: Initial Admissions to Care in Non-FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	4-19
Exhibit 4.11: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Hamilton County: 1993 - 1996	4-22
Exhibit 4.12: Number and Types of Initial Admissions to Care in Ohio: 1993 - 1996	4-24
Exhibit 4.13: Children Served by Hamilton County Ongoing Division by Type of Living Arrangement (End of September case load summary)	4-25
Exhibit 4.14: Number of Children in Out-of-Home Care in Ohio by Child-Serving Agencies	4-26
Exhibit 4.15: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Cuyahoga County: 1993-1996	4-31
Exhibit 4.16: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Cuyahoga County: 1993-1996	4-32
Exhibit 4.17: Number and Types of Initial Admissions to Care in Ohio: 1993 - 1996	4-34
Exhibit 4.18: Children Being Served by Department of Children and Family Services By Type of Placement (End of December, except as noted)	4-35
Exhibit 4.19: Active Foster Home and Kinship Care Homes: 1995 - 1997	4-41
Exhibit 4.20: Entries to Care in Maryland: 1993 - 1997	4-43
Exhibit 4.21: Initial Placement Types in Maryland: 1993 - 1997	4-45
Exhibit 4.22: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Baltimore City: 1993 - 1997	4-45
Exhibit 4.23: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Anne Arundel County: 1993 - 1997 .	4-46
Exhibit 4.24: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Prince George's County	4-46
Exhibit 4.25: Entries to Out-of-Home Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	4-50
Exhibit 4.26: Initial Placements for Children Entering Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	4-51
Exhibit 4.27: Caretaker Placements As a Percent of All Entries to Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	4-51
Exhibit 4.28: Congregate Care Placements As a Percent of All Entries to Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	4-52
Exhibit 4.29: Age Distribution for Children Entering Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	4-53
Exhibit 4.30: Initial Placement for Children Entering Care in Philadelphia, By Age of Initial Placement: 1990 - 1996	4-54

5.0 Program Impact

Exhibit 5.1:	Placements for Children in Jefferson County who Have Completed Spells of Less than 1 Year	5-6
Exhibit 5.2:	Number of Placements by Length of First Spell for Children Entering Care in Alabama	5-7
Exhibit 5.3:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Care in Jefferson County: 1991 - 1996	5-9
Exhibit 5.4:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Care in Alabama: 1991 - 1996	5-11
Exhibit 5.5:	Median Length of Stay for Children Entering Care in Alabama: 1991 - 1995	5-12
Exhibit 5.6:	Length of Stay for Children Initially Placed in Foster Homes in Alabama: 1991 - 1996	5-13
Exhibit 5.7:	Length of Stay for Children Initially Placed with a Relative in Jefferson County: 1991 - 1996	5-14
Exhibit 5.8:	Permanent Placements Among Children Ending First Spells in Jefferson County	5-15
Exhibit 5.9:	Permanent placements Among Children Ending First Spells in Comparison Counties	5-16
Exhibit 5.10:	Termination Reason for Children Initially Placed with a Relative in Jefferson County: 1991 -1996	5-17
Exhibit 5.11:	Re-Entry to Care by County Among Children Returned Home in Alabama	5-18
Exhibit 5.12:	Re-Entry to Care by County Among Alabama Children Placed In Guardianship With a Relative	5-18
Exhibit 5.13:	Number of Placements in First Spell for Children who Left Care Within One Year: Bernalillo County	5-20
Exhibit 5.14:	Number of Placements in First Spell for Children Who Left Care Within One Year: Other FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties in New Mexico	5-20
Exhibit 5.15:	Number of Placements in First Spell for Children Who Left Care Within One Year: Non-FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties in New Mexico	5-21
Exhibit 5.16:	Placements in First Spell for Children in New Mexico Who Left Care Between One And Two Years	5-22
Exhibit 5.17:	Length of Stay for All Children in New Mexico	5-23
Exhibit 5.18:	Length of Stay for All Children in Bernalillo County	5-24
Exhibit 5.19:	Length of Stay for All Children, Other FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-24
Exhibit 5.20:	Length of Stay for Children in New Mexico Entering Care for the First Time: 1990 - 1996	5-25
Exhibit 5.21:	Length of Stay for All Children, Non-FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-25
Exhibit 5.22:	Length of Stay, Initial Foster Home Placement, Bernalillo County	5-26
Exhibit 5.23:	Length of Stay, Initial Foster Home Placement, Other FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-27
Exhibit 5.24:	Length of Stay, Initial Foster Home Placement, Non-FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties ..	5-27
Exhibit 5.25:	Length of Stay, Initial Placement in Relative Care, New Mexico	5-29
Exhibit 5.26:	Re-Entry to Care by County Among New Mexico Children Placed in Guardianship with a Relative	5-30
Exhibit 5.27:	Re-Entry to Care by County Among Children Returned Home in New Mexico	5-31
Exhibit 5.28:	Re-Entry to Care by Cohort Among Children in Bernalillo County	5-32
Exhibit 5.29:	Re-Entry to Care by Cohort Among New Mexico Children in Other FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-33
Exhibit 5.30:	Re-Entry to Care by Cohort Among New Mexico Children in Non-FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-33
Exhibit 5.31:	Number of Placements Among Children Who Completed First Spell In FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-35
Exhibit 5.32:	Number of Placements Among Children Who Completed First Spell in Comparison Counties	5-36
Exhibit 5.33:	Number of Placements for Children Leaving Care at Different Intervals (Children Initially Placed in an Agency Foster Home, by Cohort)	5-38

Exhibit 5.34:	Length of Stay Among All Children Entering Care in FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties in Ohio	5-40
Exhibit 5.35:	Length of Stay Among All Children Entering Care in Comparison Counties in Ohio ..	5-42
Exhibit 5.36:	Length of Stay Among All Children Entering Care in Other Metro And Non-Metro Counties in Ohio	5-44
Exhibit 5.37:	Length of Stay Data for All Children in Ohio Entering Care for the First Time: 1993 - 1996	5-45
Exhibit 5.38:	Number of Children Entering Care by Type of Initial Placement: 1993 - 1996	5-46
Exhibit 5.39:	Length of Stay By Initial Placement: Cuyahoga County and Hamilton County	5-48
Exhibit 5.40:	Length of Stay By Initial Placement: Comparison Counties	5-49
Exhibit 5.41:	Length of Stay By Initial Placement: Other Metro and Non-Metro Counties	5-50
Exhibit 5.42:	Permanent Placements Among Children Completing First Spell in FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties	5-52
Exhibit 5.43:	Permanent Placements Among Children Ending First Spells in Comparison Counties	5-53
Exhibit 5.44:	Re-Entry to Care by Type of Permanent Placement at End of First Spell	5-55
Exhibit 5.45:	Number of Placements by Length of Completed First Spell for Children Entering Care in Maryland: 1993 - 1997	5-56
Exhibit 5.46:	Placements in Regular and Emergency Foster Homes for Children Entering Care in Maryland: 1993 - 1997	5-58
Exhibit 5.47:	Placement Disruptions by Type of Initial Foster Home Placement for Children Entering Care in Maryland: 1993 - 1997	5-58
Exhibit 5.48:	Length of Stay Data for Children Entering Care in Maryland: 1993 - 1997	5-60
Exhibit 5.49:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Care in Maryland, in FAMILY TO FAMILY Counties: 1993 - 1997	5-61
Exhibit 5.50:	Number of Children Entering Care by Type of Initial Placement: 1993 - 1997	5-62
Exhibit 5.51:	Length of Stay for Children Entering Kinship Care in Baltimore City: 1993 - 1997	5-63
Exhibit 5.52:	Length of Stay for Children Entering Foster Care in Anne Arundel County: 1993 - 1997	5-63
Exhibit 5.53:	Length of Stay for Children Entering Foster Care in Prince George's County: 1993 - 1997	5-64
Exhibit 5.54:	Length of Stay for Children Entering Care in Baltimore City: 1993 - 1997	5-65
Exhibit 5.55:	Permanent Placements Among Children Ending First Spells of Care: 1993 - 1997	5-66
Exhibit 5.56:	Re-Entry to Care After First Spell	5-67
Exhibit 5.57:	Re-Entry to Care After Return to Parents	5-68
Exhibit 5.58:	Re-Entry to Care After Placement with Relatives	5-69
Exhibit 5.59:	Number of Placements by Length of First Spell for Children Entering Care in Philadelphia	5-70
Exhibit 5.60:	Type of Second Placement (by Initial Placement) for Children Experiencing More Than One Placement	5-71
Exhibit 5.61:	Length of Stay Data for All Children Entering Care for the First Time in Philadelphia, 1990 - 1996	5-72
Exhibit 5.62:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Care for the First Time in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-73
Exhibit 5.63:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Foster Care for the First Time in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-74
Exhibit 5.64:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Caretaker Homes for the First Time in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-75
Exhibit 5.65:	Length of Stay for All Children Entering Congregate Care for the First Time in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-76
Exhibit 5.66:	Trends in Median Length of Stay for Children Entering Care in Philadelphia by Initial Placement: 1990 - 1996	5-77
Exhibit 5.67:	Reason for Termination of Initial Episode of Care for Children Entering Care in	

Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-78
Exhibit 5.68: Re-Entry to Care by Reason for Termination of Initial Episode of Care for Children	
Entering Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-79
Exhibit 5.69: Re-Entry to Care for Children Entering Care in Philadelphia: 1990 - 1996	5-80